


Q1 Given what you know about the proposed fish passage what is your present position on this project?


Answered: 182 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I'm in favor of this project	25.82%	47
I'm opposed to this project	74.73%	136
Total Respondents: 182		

Q2 If you are opposed, what concerns you most about the proposed Fish Passage project? Rank with the responses from 1 to 5 with 1 being your greatest concern and 5 being your least concern.


Answered: 160 Skipped: 24



	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL	SCORE
General water level especially in drought conditions	78.13% 125	13.75% 22	5.63% 9	1.88% 3	0.63% 1	160	4.67
Invasive fish species coming into our chain of lakes (currently non exist below our spillway)	11.88% 19	31.87% 51	28.13% 45	28.13% 45	0.00% 0	160	3.27
loss of significant numbers of desirable fish species from the chain	2.50% 4	21.25% 34	46.25% 74	28.13% 45	1.88% 3	160	2.94
opening up a relatively healthy and stable environment to unforeseen consequences	6.88% 11	33.13% 53	18.75% 30	40.00% 64	1.25% 2	160	3.04
Other	0.63% 1	0.00% 0	1.25% 2	1.88% 3	96.25% 154	160	1.07

Q3 If you are in favor, what excites you most about the fish passage project? Please rank the responses below from 1 to 5 with 1 being the most important and 5 being the least important.


Answered: 100 Skipped: 84



	1	2	3	4	5	TOTAL	SCORE
Allows all aquatic life to move freely between our lakes and the water downstream as they did historically.	70.00% 70	21.00% 21	7.00% 7	1.00% 1	1.00% 1	100	4.58
Could bring more sturgeon into our lakes	7.00% 7	52.00% 52	19.00% 19	19.00% 19	3.00% 3	100	3.41
Could bring more bait fish into our lakes	15.00% 15	17.00% 17	59.00% 59	8.00% 8	1.00% 1	100	3.37
Could assure an adequate amount of water to flow downstream for all aquatic life and property owners	3.00% 3	9.00% 9	12.00% 12	63.00% 63	13.00% 13	100	2.26
Other	5.00% 5	1.00% 1	3.00% 3	9.00% 9	82.00% 82	100	1.38

Q4 The Forest Service has stated that if a fish passage was built the DNR could mandate a minimum flow design to ensure enough water would be available to those who live down steam from our chain of lakes. Such a design would likely result in lower water levels on our chain. If a fish passage was built with a minimum flow design, what would your position be on this issue?


Answered: 177 Skipped: 7



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I would be in favor of the project being built with a minimum flow rate	11.86%	21
I would not be in favor of the project being built with a minimum flow rate.	72.32%	128
I am unsure about the project being built with a minimum flow rate	15.82%	28
TOTAL		177

Q5 If a fish passage was built without a mandated minimum flow design what would be your position on this issue?


Answered: 167 Skipped: 17



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I would be in favor of building the project without a minimum flow rate	20.96%	35
I would not be in favor of building the project without a minimum flow rate	49.70%	83
I am unsure about building the project without a minimum flow rate.	29.34%	49
TOTAL		167

Q6 At this point the Forest Service has only presented a Forest Service Fish Specialist to talk about the Fish Passage. How important is it to have the Forest Service also present to us the proposed projects engineer and hydrologist?


Answered: 180 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely important	61.67%	111
Very important	23.89%	43
Somewhat important	8.33%	15
Not so important	0.56%	1
Not at all important	5.56%	10
TOTAL		180

Q7 When government projects are proposed, that will impact the public, there is an expectation by the government agency that people will generally trust the information the government agency shares with them. When it comes to the information shared about the proposed fish passage project, what is your current level of trust that the information shared is accurate?


Answered: 183 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I have a high level of trust	16.39%	30
I have a moderate level of trust	33.33%	61
I have a low level of trust	50.27%	92
TOTAL		183

Q8 If a Fish Passage is built how important is it for the Forest Service to have in place long range plans to monitor and evaluate how the fish passage has impacted our chain of lakes.


Answered: 181 Skipped: 3



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely important	79.56%	144
Very important	13.81%	25
Somewhat important	3.87%	7
Not so important	0.00%	0
Not at all important	2.76%	5
TOTAL		181

Q9 If a Fish Passage is built and it is determined that water levels for those on the chain are lower or higher than what was projected by the Forest Service, would you expect the Forest Service to take corrective action to bring water levels up to what was originally projected?


Answered: 179 Skipped: 5



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	96.65%	173
No	3.35%	6
TOTAL		179

Q10 Given what we have been told by the Forest Service, do you understand how a potential fish passage would look and function?


Answered: 183 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	63.93%	117
No	36.07%	66
TOTAL		183

Q11 It is apparent from comments made at listening sessions that more specific and detailed information is needed about what a fish passage might look like and how it would function. What detailed information would you need to help you make an informed decision about the passage. Check all the responses that are relevant to you.

Answered: 181 Skipped: 3



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
specific details about how the fish passage would look and function	67.40%	122
a drawing of what the fish passage would look like	58.56%	106
specific details about potential water levels in the chain during normal rainfall years	87.85%	159
specific details about potential water levels in the chain during a drought	83.43%	151
Other	18.23%	33
Total Respondents: 181		